

FOREIGN POLICY

PRESS DISPATCH
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

FROM: S. Atharva, Foreign Policy Correspondent

DATE: November 3, 1962

Council in Collapse: UNHSC Fails to Pass Resolution as World Remains on a Knife's Edge

UNITED NATIONS, NEW YORK: The fragile hope that flickered within the Security Council chamber yesterday has been extinguished. After a day of furious accusations, fractured proposals, and diplomatic paralysis, the United Nations Historic Security Council has failed to reach a consensus, adjourning without a draft resolution to address the Cuban Missile Crisis. The world, which had been holding its breath for a breakthrough, is now left to grapple with a terrifying status quo as the superpowers remain locked in their nuclear standoff.

The day devolved into a stark blame game, with diplomatic niceties giving way to pointed interrogations. The delegate of **Afghanistan** directly challenged the Soviet Union's narrative, questioning the core deception of their actions. "You condemn the U.S. for 'reckless escalation,'" the delegate charged, "but the Soviet deployment was conducted in total secrecy. Was the Soviet Union's deliberate, secret deception not the most egregious failure of diplomatic conduct?"

This sentiment was echoed by **France**, who, while reiterating that the crisis was a "threat to all humanity," laid blame on the secret missile deployment in Cuba. Yet, France's justification for the U.S. blockade, that it "bought time for negotiation", was heavily scrutinized. This correspondent questioned the delegate whether the near-launch of a nuclear torpedo by a Soviet submarine didn't, in fact, bring the world closer to annihilation rather than negotiation. The tension was palpable as non-aligned nations highlighted the hypocrisy of condemning secret missiles in Cuba while ignoring known U.S. Jupiter missiles in Turkey, asking pointedly: "Does knowing a nuclear weapon's location negate its existential threat?"

Even traditional alliances showed signs of strain. The **United Kingdom** issued a thinly veiled warning to its American ally, stating that "leadership does not mean escalation," while simultaneously demanding "no deceit" from the Soviet Union.

Amid the acrimony, several motions were tabled in a desperate attempt to find common ground, but each one withered under the weight of superpower intransigence.

- A proposal from **Poland** for a temporary naval buffer zone under UN oversight failed to gain traction.
- A forward-thinking motion by **Iraq** to establish an independent UN body for nuclear affairs was deemed too ambitious for the present crisis.



Figure 1: Delegates from the Soviet Union and Poland in consultation during the session



Figure 2: Delegates vote on a motion, one of several that ultimately failed to break the diplomatic stalemate

- **Vietnam's** suggestion for a robust UN verification authority with on-site and satellite inspection capabilities was similarly shelved.

The core of the deadlock remained the unbridgeable gap between the U.S. demand for missile removal and the Soviet demand for the blockade's end. The **Soviet Union** faced intense questioning about its command-and-control protocols, specifically whether its submarine captains possessed unilateral authority to launch nuclear weapons, a question they deflected, leaving a chill in the room.

As the final gavel fell on a failed session, the mood was not one of relief, but of profound dread. The delegates leave the chamber, but the missiles remain, and the world's held breath turns to a collective, fearful prayer.